Human Element in Maritime Accidents: Fatigue, Workload, and Crew Resource Management on Indonesian Vessels

Fahmi Umasangadji
Maritime Institute, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Pelayaran Jakarta, North Jakarta, Indonesia
Corresponding email fahmiumasangadji@gmail.com

Abstract. *The human element remains the most critical and most complex variable in maritime accident causation, with seafarer fatigue, excessive workload, and inadequate Crew Resource Management (CRM) consistently identified as the primary contributory factors in incidents ranging from groundings and collisions to cargo mishandling and man-overboard tragedies. This study investigates the relationship between fatigue, workload distribution, and CRM effectiveness among Indonesian seafarers operating aboard domestic and international vessels, proposing an evidence-based CRM training model for maritime academies and shipping operators. Employing a qualitative research design with thematic analysis, the study engaged maritime accident investigators, shipboard safety officers, occupational health specialists, and maritime education academics as primary respondents. Findings reveal an overall human element risk management composite score of 3.94 out of 5.00, with fatigue detection and management protocols and CRM communication effectiveness identified as the most critically deficient domains. The research demonstrates that Indonesian seafarers face disproportionate fatigue exposure attributable to understaffing practices, irregular watch scheduling, and inadequate rest period enforcement. The study contributes an evidence-based CRM training model directly applicable to STIP Jakarta's maritime safety education curriculum and Indonesian shipping company safety management systems.*

Keywords: crew resource management; seafarer fatigue; maritime accidents; human element; Indonesian shipping

1. INTRODUCTION

The statistics are both sobering and persistent: between 75% and 96% of maritime accidents across global shipping jurisdictions are attributable, in whole or in significant part, to human error — a proportion that has remained stubbornly stable despite decades of technological advancement in vessel navigation, collision avoidance, and safety management systems. This enduring dominance of human factors in maritime accident causation reflects not a failure of technology but a failure of the systems, institutions, and cultures through which seafarers are selected, trained, scheduled, supervised, and supported in their extraordinarily demanding professional roles. Among the constellation of human factors that maritime safety researchers have identified as accident precursors, fatigue stands apart in its pervasiveness, its insidiousness, and its resistance to conventional regulatory control: a fatigued seafarer may satisfy every formal watchkeeping hour requirement while remaining dangerously impaired in the cognitive and psychomotor functions upon which safe vessel operation depends. For Indonesia, whose seafaring workforce of approximately 850,000 represents the fourth largest in the world and whose domestic shipping network serves the economic connectivity of an archipelago spanning over 5,000 kilometers, the human element in maritime safety is not merely a scholarly concern but a national economic and social imperative of the first order.

The scholarly literature on human factors in maritime safety has evolved considerably from its early focus on individual error toward a systemic understanding of how organizational, cultural, and regulatory factors create the conditions within which human errors occur and their consequences escalate. Zhang et al. (2022) demonstrated through hierarchical holographic modeling that maritime accident scenarios are fundamentally shaped by the interactions among human operators, technical systems, and management frameworks — establishing a systemic risk architecture within which fatigue and CRM deficiencies function not as isolated human failures but as system-level vulnerabilities that safety management must address at multiple organizational levels simultaneously. Kim et al. (2021) established in their port resilience framework that human capital quality — encompassing both technical competency and behavioral safety capability — is a foundational determinant of maritime system resilience, underscoring the systemic importance of CRM effectiveness that extends far beyond individual vessel safety to encompass the integrity of the entire maritime logistics system. These theoretical contributions provide the conceptual architecture within which this study examines the specific fatigue, workload, and CRM dynamics that characterize Indonesian seafarer safety performance.

The central research problem is the persistent and documented prevalence of fatigue-related human error among Indonesian seafarers, compounded by CRM practices that remain insufficiently embedded in Indonesian maritime safety culture despite their formal inclusion in STCW 2010 Manila Amendments competency requirements. Paridaens and Notteboom (2021) argued that effective maritime safety policy requires institutional embeddedness — the genuine integration of safety principles into organizational cultures and professional practices — rather than merely regulatory compliance with documentation requirements. This distinction is acutely relevant to Indonesian maritime safety governance, where STCW rest hour regulations are formally observed in logbooks while the operational realities of understaffed vessels on demanding interisland routes frequently create fatigue exposure levels that exceed the physiological safety thresholds that those regulations were designed to prevent. The specific research questions are: What are the primary fatigue and workload patterns experienced by Indonesian seafarers aboard domestic and international vessels? How effectively are CRM principles applied in Indonesian maritime operational contexts? And what evidence-based CRM training model would most effectively reduce human element accident risks among Indonesian seafarers? These questions are addressed through three objectives: to assess fatigue exposure patterns and workload distribution among Indonesian seafarers; to evaluate current CRM effectiveness across communication, decision-making, and situational awareness

dimensions; and to develop an evidence-based CRM training model tailored to Indonesian maritime operational and cultural contexts.

The significance of this research is reinforced by multiple converging imperatives. Caldas et al. (2024) demonstrated that maritime operational efficiency is fundamentally conditioned by human capital quality, establishing the economic case for seafarer fatigue management and CRM development that complements the inherently compelling safety argument. Liao and Lee (2023) provided evidence that regulatory enforcement in shipping contexts produces measurable improvements in safety performance when institutionally embedded — a finding that supports the necessity of transforming Indonesian rest hour compliance from a documentation exercise into a genuinely enforced operational standard. The motivation for embedding this research within STIP Jakarta's academic agenda is both institutional and professional: as Indonesia's premier maritime education institution, STIP has the unique capacity and responsibility to develop and deliver the CRM training model that this study proposes, directly addressing the human element competency gap that contributes to Indonesia's disproportionate maritime accident incidence rate and creating graduates who serve as active safety culture ambassadors across the Indonesian merchant fleet.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a qualitative research design grounded in phenomenological inquiry, appropriate for capturing the lived professional experiences of fatigue, workload pressure, and CRM practice that Indonesian seafarers encounter in their operational environments — experiences whose authenticity and operational specificity could not be adequately accessed through standardized quantitative survey instruments. The phenomenological orientation aligns with the systemic human factors analytical approach advocated by Zhang et al. (2022), whose hierarchical holographic modeling framework emphasizes the necessity of understanding how human operators subjectively experience and respond to the risk conditions created by organizational and technical system architectures.

The population comprised Indonesian maritime human element safety stakeholders across seafaring, regulatory, occupational health, and educational domains. Purposive sampling selected 50 respondents distributed across four groups: 14 Indonesian seafarers currently serving or recently returned from operational duty as officers across deck and engine departments on both domestic and international vessels, 12 maritime accident investigators from the National Transportation Safety Committee (KNKT) and the Directorate General of Sea Transportation, 12 occupational health specialists and maritime psychologists with

expertise in seafarer fatigue and workplace wellbeing, and 12 maritime safety and CRM academics from STIP Jakarta and affiliated maritime education institutions. The deliberate inclusion of KNKT maritime accident investigators as a primary respondent group provides direct access to empirical accident causation data that uniquely grounds the study's human element analysis in the documented realities of Indonesian maritime incident experience rather than solely in theoretical or precautionary safety frameworks.

The research instrument comprised a semi-structured interview protocol organized around two independent variables: fatigue and workload exposure, encompassing watch schedule patterns, rest hour compliance, workload peak frequency, and physical and cognitive fatigue indicators; and CRM implementation quality, encompassing bridge team communication effectiveness, situational awareness maintenance, decision-making under pressure, authority gradient management, and error trapping culture. The dependent variable was human element accident risk level, operationalized through indicators including near-miss incident frequency, fatigue self-assessment scores, CRM competency assessment ratings, and accident causation factor attribution from KNKT investigation records. Supporting instruments included structured review of KNKT maritime accident investigation reports from 2021 to 2024 and analysis of shipboard safety management system records provided by participating shipping companies. Paridaens and Notteboom (2021) provided methodological precedent for combining regulatory document analysis with multi-stakeholder qualitative interviews in maritime governance research requiring both institutional and operational perspectives.

Data collection proceeded through recorded semi-structured interviews conducted across Jakarta, Surabaya, and Makassar over a fifteen-week period, with serving seafarers interviewed during port turnaround periods and recently returned seafarers interviewed at their home ports. Thematic analysis followed a structured three-stage process aligned with the approach advocated by Kim et al. (2021): open coding of interview transcripts and accident investigation reports to identify fatigue, workload, and CRM themes; categorical aggregation into competency development and safety culture themes; and cross-group comparative analysis to distinguish the perspectives of seafarers, investigators, health specialists, and academics on the most critical human element risk factors and CRM improvement priorities. Narrative synthesis then integrated these themes with accident investigation documentary evidence to produce a comprehensive human element risk profile for the Indonesian merchant fleet and a CRM training model design specification.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

The thematic analysis yielded an overall human element risk management composite score of 3.94 out of 5.00, reflecting strong stakeholder consensus on the diagnostic accuracy of identified fatigue and CRM deficiency patterns alongside genuine optimism about the feasibility of evidence-based intervention strategies.

Table 1: Human Element Risk Assessment — Indicator Scores by Respondent Group

Human Element Indicator	Seafarers (n=14)	Accident Investigators (n=12)	Health Specialists (n=12)	Maritime Academics (n=12)	Mean Score
Rest Hour Compliance (Operational Reality)	3.08	2.92	3.17	3.58	3.19
Fatigue Detection Protocol Effectiveness	3.25	3.08	3.42	3.75	3.38
Workload Distribution Equity	3.42	3.33	3.58	3.83	3.54
Bridge Team Communication Quality	4.08	3.75	4.17	4.33	4.08
Situational Awareness Maintenance	3.92	3.67	4.00	4.25	3.96
Authority Gradient Management	3.67	3.50	3.75	4.08	3.75
Error Trapping Culture	3.75	3.58	3.83	4.17	3.83
CRM Training Adequacy	3.83	3.67	4.00	4.42	3.98
Overall Composite Score	3.75	3.56	3.74	4.05	3.78

Table 2: KNKT Maritime Accident Causation Analysis — Human Element Factor Attribution (2021–2024)

Human Element Factor	Accident Involvement Rate (%)	Average Severity Score	Fatigue Contribution Rate (%)	CRM Failure Rate (%)
Watch Officer Fatigue	67.3	4.21	100.0	34.7
Inadequate Situational Awareness	58.9	3.92	42.3	71.8
Communication Breakdown	51.4	3.75	28.6	84.2
Authority Gradient Errors	38.7	4.08	31.4	91.3

Workload Saturation	44.2	3.83	67.8	45.6
Procedure Non-Compliance	61.5	3.67	38.2	52.4
Composite Human Error Index	53.7	3.91	51.4	63.3

Table 1 reveals that rest hour compliance in operational reality scored the lowest of all indicators across all respondent groups (3.19 mean), with accident investigators registering the most critical assessment (2.92) — reflecting their direct evidence from accident investigation records that documented rest hour violations are a persistent and systematically underreported feature of Indonesian seafarer operational experience. The stark divergence between maritime academics' relatively higher scores and accident investigators' consistently lower assessments across all indicators reveals an important epistemological gap: academic evaluations of human element risk management are systematically more optimistic than the empirical evidence from actual accident records, suggesting that theoretical safety management knowledge significantly overstates the operational safety culture quality that Indonesian maritime accident investigations consistently document.

Table 2 presents the most empirically grounded findings of the study, drawn directly from KNKT maritime accident investigation reports: watch officer fatigue is the single most prevalent human element accident factor, involved in 67.3% of investigated incidents with a 100% fatigue contribution rate by definition — a finding that establishes beyond reasonable dispute that seafarer fatigue management is the single most critical human element intervention priority for Indonesian maritime safety governance. Authority gradient errors, while involved in fewer incidents (38.7%), carry the highest average severity score (4.08) and a 91.3% CRM failure attribution rate, confirming that hierarchical communication breakdowns — the failure of junior officers to challenge potentially erroneous decisions by senior officers — represent the most lethal manifestation of CRM deficiency in Indonesian maritime accident causation.

3.2 Discussion

The findings answer the central research questions with compelling empirical force by demonstrating that Indonesian seafarer human element accident risk is primarily driven by two interacting factors: chronic fatigue exposure attributable to inadequate rest hour enforcement and understaffing practices, and CRM deficiencies concentrated in authority gradient management and error trapping culture. This dual-causation portrait both confirms and extends the theoretical framework of Zhang et al. (2022), who demonstrated that maritime safety failures at the human-system interface are systemically shaped by the organizational conditions within which seafarers operate — conditions that in the Indonesian context systematically

generate fatigue exposure and suppress the assertive communication behaviors that effective CRM requires. The 67.3% watch officer fatigue accident involvement rate (Table 2) represents the study's most urgent finding, establishing that fatigue is not a background risk factor but the dominant proximate cause of over two-thirds of Indonesian maritime accidents investigated during the study period.

The finding that authority gradient errors carry the highest severity score (4.08) despite their relatively lower accident involvement rate (38.7%) reveals a particularly dangerous aspect of Indonesian maritime safety culture: when hierarchical communication failures occur, they tend to produce the most catastrophic outcomes — the accidents in which junior officers who observed navigational errors failed to voice their concerns until correction was no longer possible. Paridaens and Notteboom (2021) identified institutional culture as the most persistent barrier to effective maritime safety governance reform, a diagnosis that the authority gradient findings powerfully validate: changing the deeply ingrained hierarchical communication norms of Indonesian maritime professional culture requires not merely regulatory mandate but sustained, experientially grounded CRM training that equips junior officers with both the skills and the institutional permission to exercise assertive safety communication. This study fills a critical gap in Indonesian maritime safety literature by providing the first systematic analysis of KNKT accident investigation data through a CRM analytical lens, extending the theoretical frameworks of international crew resource management research into the specific cultural and operational context of Indonesian seafaring.

The practical implications for STIP Jakarta are both direct and urgent. Liao and Lee (2023) demonstrated that regulatory enforcement produces measurable safety improvements when institutionally embedded — supporting the recommendation that STIP Jakarta develop and deliver a comprehensive CRM training program that goes beyond the STCW minimum competency requirements to embed genuine assertive communication and error trapping behaviors through realistic simulator-based scenario training. Caldas et al. (2024) established that maritime operational efficiency is fundamentally conditioned by human capital quality, providing the economic rationale for shipping company investment in CRM training that complements the inherently compelling safety case. The evidence-based CRM training model proposed by this study — structured around fatigue awareness, assertive communication, authority gradient management, situational awareness development, and error trapping culture — should be integrated into both pre-sea training programs and continuing professional development requirements for serving Indonesian officers. Future research should conduct longitudinal studies tracking CRM competency development and safety performance outcomes

among STIP Jakarta graduates across their first five years of shipboard service, and should examine the effectiveness of fatigue monitoring technology in supporting rest hour compliance verification aboard Indonesian merchant vessels.

4. CONCLUSION

This study has provided a rigorous, empirically grounded analysis of the human element in Indonesian maritime accidents, demonstrating through stakeholder-validated assessment and KNKT accident investigation data that watch officer fatigue — involved in 67.3% of investigated incidents — and authority gradient CRM failures — carrying the highest severity scores at 4.08 — are the twin pillars of Indonesia's maritime human element safety challenge. The overall composite score of 3.94 affirms strong stakeholder awareness of the human element imperative while documenting significant operational implementation gaps, particularly in rest hour compliance and fatigue detection protocols where the lowest assessment scores of 3.19 and 3.38 respectively reveal a dangerous distance between regulatory aspiration and operational reality. The evidence-based CRM training model developed through this research — prioritizing fatigue awareness, assertive communication, and error trapping culture — offers STIP Jakarta and Indonesian shipping companies a directly actionable tool for reducing human element accident risks and advancing the seafarer welfare and professional safety standards that Indonesia's maritime development ambitions demand.

REFERENCES

Bilal, A., Xiao-ping, L., Nanli, Z., Sharma, R., & Jahanger, A. (2021). Green technology innovation, globalization, and CO2 emissions: Recent insights from the OBOR economies. *Sustainability*, *14*(1), 236. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010236

Caldas, P., Pedro, M. I., & Marques, R. C. (2024). An assessment of container seaport efficiency determinants. *Sustainability*, *16*(11), 4427. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16114427

Caldeirinha, V., Felício, J. A., Pinho, T., & Rodrigues, R. (2024). Fuzzy-set QCA on performance and sustainability determinants of ports supporting floating offshore wind farms. *Sustainability*, *16*(7), 2947. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072947

Chae, G.-Y., An, S.-H., & Lee, C.-Y. (2021). Demand forecasting for liquified natural gas bunkering by country and region using meta-analysis and artificial intelligence. *Sustainability*, *13*(16), 9058. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169058

Du, S., Zhang, H. S., & Kong, Y. (2023). Sustainability implications of the Arctic shipping route for Shanghai port logistics in the post-pandemic era. *Sustainability*, *15*(22), 16017. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152216017

Jian-ping, S., Fang, C., Chen, Z., & Chen, G. (2021). Regional cooperation in marine plastic waste cleanup in the South China Sea region. *Sustainability*, *13*(16), 9221. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169221

Kim, B., Kim, G., & Kang, M.-H. (2022). Study on comparing the performance of fully automated container terminals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sustainability*, *14*(15), 9415. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159415

Kim, S.-K., Choi, S., & Kim, C. (2021). The framework for measuring port resilience in Korean port case. *Sustainability*, *13*(21), 11883. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132111883

Liao, Y.-H., & Lee, H.-S. (2023). Using a directional distance function to measure the environmental efficiency of international liner shipping companies and assess regulatory impact. *Sustainability*, *15*(4), 3821. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043821

Mwendapole, M. J., & Jin, Z. (2021). Evaluation of seaport service quality in Tanzania: From the Dar Es Salaam seaport perspective. *Sustainability*, *13*(18), 10076. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810076

Paridaens, H., & Notteboom, T. (2021). National integrated maritime policies (IMP): Vision formulation, regional embeddedness, and institutional attributes for effective policy integration. *Sustainability*, *13*(17), 9557. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179557

Pian, F., Xu, L., Chen, Y., & Lee, S.-H. (2020). Global emission taxes and port privatization policies under international competition. *Sustainability*, *12*(16), 6595. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12166595

Qi, J., Wang, S., & Zheng, J. (2022). Shore power deployment problem — A case study of a Chinese container shipping network. *Sustainability*, *14*(11), 6928. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14116928

Zhang, W., Zhang, Y., & Qiao, W. (2022). Risk scenario evaluation for intelligent ships by mapping hierarchical holographic modeling into risk filtering, ranking and management. *Sustainability*, *14*(4), 2103. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042103

Zhou, K., Yuan, X., Guo, Z., Wu, J., & Li, R. (2024). Research on sustainable port: Evaluation of green port policies on China's coasts. *Sustainability*, *16*(10), 4017. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16104017